

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,315	SUAZO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jong-Suk (James) Lee.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Ray R. Regan (Reg. No. 36,899).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7/22/ 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 7-19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney, Mr. Regan authorized examiner's amendment to cancel the withdrawn claims 7-19 which are directed to non-elected inventions and further to charge third month extension fees to enter the examiner's amendment. Claims 1-5 are allowed (see the examiner's amendment for the detail)..